WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-657 of 2019.</u>

-	Sumita Murmu. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
03 30-09-2019	For the Applicants : Mr. S. Ghosh, Mr. G. Halder, Mr. R. K. Mondal, Advocates.	3
	For the State Respondents : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for a direction upon the respondent to consider the prayer for appointment on compassionate ground. As per the applicant, her mother died on 24th May, 2011 leaving behind the husband and the applicant as a married daughter. Subsequently the applicant had approached the District Magistrate and Collector, Birbhum for issuance of legal heir certificate, who in-turn had issued a legal heir certificate dated 16-01-2012 (Annexure-B). In the mean time, the applicant had approached for compassionate appointment before the authority and also files proforma application	

	Sumita Murmu
orm No	

Form No.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•
																													,	١	V	,	•	ς																												

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-657 of 2019

Case No. OA-657 of	<u>f 2019</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	but no decision has been communicated to the	
	applicant. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the	
	instant application.	
	As per the Counsel for the applicant	
	though the applicant as a married daughter.	
	However the mother of the applicant was the	
	second wife of Sri Jogesh Chandra Murmu.	
	The Counsel for the respondent has	
	vehemently submitted that the application is	
	hopelessly barred by limitation. Further this is	
	an admitted fact on the part of the applicant	
	that she was married at the time of death of her	
	mother and the applicant could not enclose any	
	document to show her any dependency upon	
	her mother as she was only child of her mother.	
	Therefore the Counsel for the respondent has	
	prayed for dismissal of the OA.	
	We have heard both the parties and	
	perused the record. It is noted that the	

Form No.

Vs.

Sumita Murmu

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-657 of 2019

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	applicant has admittedly the married daughter	3
	of the deceased employee, who is the second	
	wife of Sri Jogesh Chandra Murmu as has been	
	claimed by the applicant. However no	
	document has been shown to establish that she	
	was dependent upon her mother as she was	
	already married at that point of time and not	
	dependent upon the ex-employee. Further the	
	mother of the applicant died in 2011 and the	
	applicant approached this Tribunal in 2019	
	only and that too without any valid explanation	
	for such delay. Therefore in our considered	
	opinion that the compassionate appointment is	
	not a matter of right, basically such type of	
	appointment is extended to help the dependent	
	family member to tide over the sudden financial	
	crisis occurred due to the sudden demise of the	
	sole bread earner. Therefore the applicant, who	
	was staying with her husband could have	
	sustained for a long time and mere making	
	representation before the authority cannot	
	condone the limitation as she did not approach	
	this Tribunal immediately thereafter if she was	

	Sumita Murmu
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-657 o	<u>f 2019</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Mihir	in a need of financial help. Accordingly, we do not find any reason to entertain the OA since the application is hopelessly barred by limitation and also being devoid of merit. According the OA is dismissed being devoid of merit. P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	